arXiv:1601.05510v1 [math.DS] 21 Jan 2016

Monotonicity Results for Delta and Nabla Caputo and Riemann Fractional Differences via dual identities

Thabet Abdeljawad [a1] and Bahaaeldin Abdalla [a2]

[a]Department of Mathematics and Physical Sciences, Prince Sultan University
P. O. Box 66833, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia
tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa, babdallah@psu.edu.sa

Abstract: Recently, some authors have proved monotonicity results for delta and nabla fractional differences separately. In this article, we use dual identities relating delta and nabla fractional difference operators to prove shortly the monotonicity properties for the (left Riemann) nabla fractional differences using the corresponding delta type properties. Also, we proved some monotonicity properties for the Caputo fractional differences. Finally, we use the $Q-$operator dual identities to prove monotonicity results for the right fractional difference operators.

Keywords: right (left) delta and nabla fractional sums, right (left) delta and nabla Riemann and Caputo fractional differences, Q-operator, dual identity.

1 Introduction and preliminaries about fractional sums and differences

Fractional calculus have attracted many researchers in different fields of engineering and science since not short time [20, 21, 22]. The extent of interest in this field reaches every concept can be applied to fractional dynamical systems such as delay , impulse, stability, controllability, biological modelling, variational calculus, etc. [28, 23, 26, 27, 29, 24, 25]. Discrete fractional calculus remained without serious developing til the beginning of the last decade in the last century. Twenty years after the articles [1, 2], many authors started to attack discrete fractional calculus very extensively ([3]-[19]). Recently, some authors started to study monotonicity and convexity properties of delta and nabla (left Riemann) fractional differences ([30]-[34]). For example, the authors studied the monotonicity properties for delta fractional differences of order $0 < \alpha < 1$ while others studied the case $1 < \alpha < 2$. In [31] the authors proved two monotonicity results for delta and nabla fractional differences separately (see Theorem A and Theorem B there). Then, very recently, the authors in [33] improved the results obtained for the delta case by using better starting conditions. In this article, we use the dual identities relating delta and nabla (left Riemann) fractional differences [16, 17] to provide monotonicity short proofs for the nabla case using the delta case. Then, we used the relation between Riemann and Caputo fractional differences to carry the analysis in ([30]-[34]) from Riemann fractional differences to Caputo fractional differences. Finally, we used the action of the $Q-$operator [3, 16, 17] in relating left and right fractional difference types to prove monotonicity results for right fractional difference types.

For a natural number n, the fractional polynomial is defined by,

$$t^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(t-j) = \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-n)}, \tag{1}$$

where Γ denotes the special gamma function and the product is zero when $t+1-j=0$ for some j. More generally, for arbitrary α, define

$$t^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-\alpha)}, \tag{2}$$

where the convention that division at pole yields zero. Given that the forward and backward difference operators are defined by

$$\Delta f(t) = f(t+1) - f(t), \ \nabla f(t) = f(t) - f(t-1) \tag{3}$$

1

2

respectively, we define iteratively the operators $\Delta^m = \Delta(\Delta^{m-1})$ and $\nabla^m = \nabla(\nabla^{m-1})$, where m is a natural number.

Here are some properties of the factorial function.

Lemma 1.1. *([15]) Assume the following factorial functions are well defined.*
(i) $\Delta t^{(\alpha)} = \alpha t^{(\alpha-1)}$.
(ii) $(t-\mu)t^{(\mu)} = t^{(\mu+1)}$, *where* $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.
(iii) $\mu^{(\mu)} = \Gamma(\mu+1)$.
(iv) If $t \leq r$, *then* $t^{(\alpha)} \leq r^{(\alpha)}$ *for any* $\alpha > r$.
(v) If $0 < \alpha < 1$, *then* $t^{(\alpha\nu)} \geq (t^{(\nu)})^{\alpha}$.
(vi) $t^{(\alpha+\beta)} = (t-\beta)^{(\alpha)}t^{(\beta)}$.

Also, for our purposes we list down the following two properties, the proofs of which are straightforward.

$$\nabla_s (s-t)^{(\alpha-1)} = (\alpha-1)(\rho(s)-t)^{(\alpha-2)}. \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla_t (\rho(s)-t)^{(\alpha-1)} = -(\alpha-1)(\rho(s)-t)^{(\alpha-2)}. \tag{5}$$

For the sake of the nabla fractional calculus we have the following definition

Definition 1.1. *([35, 36, 37])*
(i) For a natural number m, *the* m *rising (ascending) factorial of* t *is defined by*

$$t^{\overline{m}} = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (t+k), \quad t^{\overline{0}} = 1. \tag{6}$$

(ii) For any real number the α *rising function is defined by*

$$t^{\overline{\alpha}} = \frac{\Gamma(t+\alpha)}{\Gamma(t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} - \{..., -2, -1, 0\}, \quad 0^{\overline{\alpha}} = 0 \tag{7}$$

Regarding the rising factorial function we observe the following:
(i)

$$\nabla(t^{\overline{\alpha}}) = \alpha t^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \tag{8}$$

(ii)

$$(t^{\overline{\alpha}}) = (t+\alpha-1)^{(\alpha)}. \tag{9}$$

(iii)

$$\Delta_t (s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha}} = -\alpha(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \tag{10}$$

Notation:

(*i*) For a real $\alpha > 0$, we set $n = [\alpha] + 1$, where $[\alpha]$ is the greatest integer less than α.

(*ii*) For real numbers a and b, we denote $\mathbb{N}_a = \{a, a+1, ...\}$ and ${}_b\mathbb{N} = \{b, b-1, ...\}$.

(*iii*) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\ominus \Delta^n f(t) \triangleq (-1)^n \Delta^n f(t).$$

(*iv*) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\nabla^n_{\ominus} f(t) \triangleq (-1)^n \nabla^n f(t).$$

The following definition and the properties followed can be found in [16] and the references therein.

Definition 1.2. *[16] Let* $\sigma(t) = t+1$ *and* $\rho(t) = t-1$ *be the forward and backward jumping operators, respectively. Then*
(i) The (delta) left fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ *(starting from* a*) is defined by:*

$$\Delta_a^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-\alpha} (t-\sigma(s))^{(\alpha-1)} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+\alpha}. \tag{11}$$

(ii) The (delta) right fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ *(ending at* b*) is defined by:*

$${}_b\Delta^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+\alpha}^{b} (s-\sigma(t))^{(\alpha-1)} f(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+\alpha}^{b} (\rho(s)-t)^{(\alpha-1)} f(s), \quad t \in {}_{b-\alpha}\mathbb{N}. \tag{12}$$

(iii) The (nabla) left fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ (starting from a) is defined by:

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}. \tag{13}$$

(iv)The (nabla) right fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ (ending at b) is defined by:

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b-1} (s - \rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b-1} (\sigma(s) - t)^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in {}_{b-1}\mathbb{N}. \tag{14}$$

Regarding the delta left fractional sum we observe the following:
(i) $\Delta_a^{-\alpha}$ maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+\alpha}$.
(ii) $u(t) = \Delta_a^{-n} f(t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the initial value problem

$$\Delta^n u(t) = f(t), \quad t \in N_a, \ u(a + j - 1) = 0, \ j = 1, 2, ..., n. \tag{15}$$

(iii) The Cauchy function $\frac{(t-\sigma(s))^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!}$ vanishes at $s = t - (n-1), ..., t - 1$.

Regarding the delta right fractional sum we observe the following:
(i) ${}_b\Delta^{-\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-\alpha}\mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $u(t) = {}_b\Delta^{-n} f(t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the initial value problem

$$\nabla_{\ominus}^{n} u(t) = f(t), \quad t \in {}_bN, \ u(b - j + 1) = 0, \ j = 1, 2, ..., n. \tag{16}$$

(iii) the Cauchy function $\frac{(\rho(s)-t)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!}$ vanishes at $s = t + 1, t + 2, ..., t + (n-1)$.

Regarding the nabla left fractional sum we observe the following:
(i) $\nabla_a^{-\alpha}$ maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$.
(ii)$\nabla_a^{-n} f(t)$ satisfies the n-th order discrete initial value problem

$$\nabla^n y(t) = f(t), \quad \nabla^i y(a) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n-1 \tag{17}$$

(iii) The Cauchy function $\frac{(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{n-1}}}{\Gamma(n)}$ satisfies $\nabla^n y(t) = 0$.

Regarding the nabla right fractional sum we observe the following:
(i) ${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$.
(ii) ${}_b\nabla^{-n} f(t)$ satisfies the n-th order discrete initial value problem

$$ {}_{\ominus}\Delta^n y(t) = f(t), \quad {}_{\ominus}\Delta^i y(b) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n-1. \tag{18}$$

The proof can be done inductively. Namely, assuming it is true for n, we have

$$ {}_{\ominus}\Delta^{n+1} \, {}_b\nabla^{-(n+1)} f(t) = {}_{\ominus}\Delta^n [-\Delta \, {}_b\nabla^{-(n+1)} f(t)]. \tag{19}$$

By the help of (10), it follows that

$$ {}_{\ominus}\Delta^{n+1} \, {}_b\nabla^{-(n+1)} f(t) = {}_{\ominus}\Delta^n \, {}_b\nabla^{-n} f(t) = f(t). \tag{20}$$

The other part is clear by using the convention that $\sum_{k=s+1}^{s} = 0$.

(iii) The Cauchy function $\frac{(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{n-1}}}{\Gamma(n)}$ satisfies ${}_{\ominus}\Delta^n y(t) = 0$.

Definition 1.3. *(i)[2] The (delta) left fractional difference of order $\alpha > 0$ (starting from a) is defined by:*

$$\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) = \Delta^n \Delta_a^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t) = \frac{\Delta^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-(n-\alpha)} (t - \sigma(s))^{(n-\alpha-1)} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+(n-\alpha)} \tag{21}$$

(ii) [7] The (delta) right fractional difference of order $\alpha > 0$ (ending at b) is defined by:

$${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) = \nabla_{\ominus}^{n} \, {}_b\Delta^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t) = \frac{(-1)^n \nabla^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+(n-\alpha)}^{b} (s - \sigma(t))^{(n-\alpha-1)} f(s), \quad t \in {}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\mathbb{N} \tag{22}$$

(iii) [19] The (nabla) left fractional difference of order $\alpha > 0$ (starting from a) is defined by:

$$\nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) = \nabla^n \nabla_a^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t) = \frac{\nabla^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1} \tag{23}$$

(iv) ([12], [16] The (nabla) right fractional difference of order $\alpha > 0$ (ending at b) is defined by:

$$ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = {}_{\ominus}\Delta^{n}\ {}_b\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t) = \frac{(-1)^n \Delta^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b-1} (s-\rho(t))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in {}_{b-1}\mathbb{N} \tag{24} $$

Regarding the domains of the fractional type differences we observe:
(i) The delta left fractional difference Δ_a^{α} maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+(n-\alpha)}$.
(ii) The delta right fractional difference ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$.
(iii) The nabla left fractional difference ∇_a^{α} maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+n}$.
(iv) The nabla right fractional difference ${}_b\nabla^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-n}\mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.4. *Let $\alpha > 0,\ \alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then,*
(i)[3] the delta $\alpha-$order Caputo left fractional difference of a function f defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ is defined by

$$ {}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq \Delta_a^{-(n-\alpha)} \Delta^n f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-(n-\alpha)} (t-\sigma(s))^{(n-\alpha-1)} \Delta_s^n f(s) \tag{25} $$

(ii) [3] the delta $\alpha-$ order Caputo right fractional difference of a function f defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$ {}_b^{C}\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq {}_b\Delta^{-(n-\alpha)} \nabla_{\ominus}^n f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+(n-\alpha)}^{b} (s-\sigma(t))^{(n-\alpha-1)} \nabla_{\ominus}^n f(s) \tag{26} $$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$.
If $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$ {}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq \Delta^n f(t) \quad \textit{and} \quad {}_b^{C}\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq \nabla_b^n f(t) $$

It is clear that ${}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+(n-\alpha)}$, and that ${}_b^{C}\Delta^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.2. *[3] For any $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$ {}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) = \Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{(k-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} \Delta^k f(a) \tag{27} $$

and

$$ {}_b^{C}\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) = {}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(b-t)^{(k-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} \nabla_{\ominus}^k f(b). \tag{28} $$

In particular, when $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$ {}^{C}\Delta_a f(t) = \Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) - \frac{(t-a)^{(-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(a). \tag{29} $$

$$ {}_b^{C}\Delta f(t) = {}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) - \frac{(b-t)^{(-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(b) \tag{30} $$

Definition 1.5. *[17] Let $f : \mathbb{N}_a \to \mathbb{R}$ ($f : {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$, respectively), $\alpha > 0,\ n = [\alpha]+1,\ a(\alpha) = a+n-1$ and $b(\alpha) = b-n+1$. Then the (dual) nabla left and right Caputo fractional differences are defined by*

$$ {}^{C}\nabla_{a(\alpha)}^{\alpha} f(t) = \nabla_{a(\alpha)}^{-(n-\alpha)} \nabla^n f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+n} \tag{31} $$

and

$$ {}_{b(\alpha)}^{C}\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = {}_{b(\alpha)}\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)} {}_{\ominus}\Delta^n f(t), \quad t \in {}_{b-n}\mathbb{N}, \tag{32} $$

respectively.

The following proposition states a dual relation between left delta Caputo fractional differences and left nabla (dual) Caputo fractional differences.

Proposition 1.3. *[17] For $f:\mathbb{N}_a\to\mathbb{R}$, $\alpha>0$, $n=[\alpha]+1$, $a(\alpha)=a+n-1$, we have*

$$({}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha}f)(t-\alpha)=({}^{C}\nabla_{a(\alpha)}^{\alpha}f)(t),\quad t\in N_{a+n}. \tag{33}$$

Analogously, the following proposition relates right delta Caputo fractional differences and right nabla (dual) Caputo fractional differences.

Proposition 1.4. *[17] For $f:{}_b\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{R}$, $\alpha>0$, $n=[\alpha]+1$, $b(\alpha)=b-n+1$, we have*

$$({}_{b}^{C}\Delta^{\alpha}f)(t+\alpha)=({}_{b(\alpha)}^{C}\nabla^{\alpha}f)(t),\quad t\in {}_{b-n}\mathbb{N}. \tag{34}$$

Theorem 1.5. *[17] For any $\alpha>0$ and $f:\mathbb{N}_a\to\mathbb{R}$, we have*

$${}^{C}\nabla_{a(\alpha)}^{\alpha}f(t)=\nabla_{a(\alpha)}^{\alpha}f(t)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(t-a(\alpha))^{\overline{k-\alpha}}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)}\nabla^{k}f(a(\alpha)) \tag{35}$$

and

$${}_{b(\alpha)}^{C}\nabla^{\alpha}f(t)={}_{b(\alpha)}\nabla^{\alpha}f(t)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(b(\alpha)-t)^{\overline{k-\alpha}}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)}\ominus\Delta^{k}f(b(\alpha)). \tag{36}$$

In particular, when $0<\alpha<1$, then $a(\alpha)=a$ and $b(\alpha)=b$ and hence we have

$${}^{C}\nabla_{a}^{\alpha}f(t)=\nabla_{a}^{\alpha}f(t)-\frac{(t-a)^{\overline{-\alpha}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}f(a) \tag{37}$$

and

$${}_{b}^{C}\nabla^{\alpha}f(t)={}_{b}\nabla^{\alpha}f(t)-\frac{(b-t)^{\overline{-\alpha}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}f(b) \tag{38}$$

Proposition 1.6. *[17] Assume $\alpha>0$ and f is defined on suitable domains $\mathbb{N}_a$ and ${}_b\mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\nabla_{a(\alpha)}^{-\alpha}\,{}^{C}\nabla_{a(\alpha)}^{\alpha}f(t)=f(t)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(t-a(\alpha))^{\overline{k}}}{k!}\nabla^{k}f(a(\alpha)) \tag{39}$$

and

$${}_{b(\alpha)}\nabla^{-\alpha}\,{}_{b(\alpha)}^{C}\nabla^{\alpha}f(t)=f(t)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(b(\alpha)-t)^{\overline{k}}}{k!}\ominus\Delta^{k}f(b(\alpha)). \tag{40}$$

In particular, if $0<\alpha\le 1$ then $a(\alpha)=a$ and $b(\alpha)=b$ and hence

$$\nabla_{a}^{-\alpha}\,{}^{C}\nabla_{a}^{\alpha}f(t)=f(t)-f(a)\quad \textit{and}\quad {}_{b}\nabla^{-\alpha}\,{}_{b}^{C}\nabla^{\alpha}f(t)=f(t)-f(b) \tag{41}$$

Lemma 1.7. *(see [18] and Lemma 5 in [14]) Let $0\le n-1<\alpha\le n$ and let $y(t)$ be defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$. Then the following statements are valid.*
(i)$(\Delta_a^{\alpha})y(t-\alpha)=\nabla_{a-1}^{\alpha}y(t)$ for $t\in\mathbb{N}_{n+a}$.
(ii) $(\Delta_a^{-\alpha})y(t+\alpha)=\nabla_{a-1}^{-\alpha}y(t)$ for $t\in\mathbb{N}_a$.

Next lemma for the right fractional sums and differences case.

Lemma 1.8. *[16] Let $y(t)$ be defined on ${}_{b+1}\mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are valid.*
(i)$({}_b\Delta^{\alpha})y(t+\alpha)={}_{b+1}\nabla^{\alpha}y(t)$ for $t\in{}_{b-n}\mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $({}_b\Delta^{-\alpha})y(t-\alpha)={}_{b+1}\nabla^{-\alpha}y(t)$ for $t\in{}_b\mathbb{N}$.

In [19] the author used a delta Leibniz's Rule to obtain the following alternative definition for Riemann delta left fractional differences:

$$\Delta_a^{\alpha}f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\sum_{s=a}^{t+\alpha}(t-\sigma(s))^{(-\alpha-1)}f(s),\quad \alpha\notin\mathbb{N},\quad t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+n-\alpha}, \tag{42}$$

In analogous to (42) the authors in [13] used a nabla Leibniz's Rule to prove that

$$\nabla_a^{\alpha}f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\sum_{s=a+1}^{t}(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{-\alpha-1}}f(s),\quad t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+1}\supseteq\mathbb{N}_{a+n}. \tag{43}$$

In [12] the authors used a delta Leibniz's Rule to prove the following formula for nabla right fractional differences

$$ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b-1} (s-\rho(t))^{\overline{-\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in {}_{b-1}\mathbb{N} \supseteq {}_{b-n}\mathbb{N}. \tag{44} $$

Similarly, we can use a nabla Leibniz's Rule to prove the following formula for the delta right fractional differences:

$$ {}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t-\alpha}^{b} (s-\sigma(t))^{(-\alpha-1)} f(s), \quad t \in {}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}. \tag{45} $$

If $f(s)$ is defined on $N_a \cap {}_bN$ and $a \equiv b \ (mod\ 1)$ then $(Qf)(s) = f(a+b-s)$. The Q-operator generates a dual identity by which the left type and the right type fractional sums and differences are related. Using the change of variable $u = a+b-s$, in [3] it was shown that

$$ \Delta_a^{-\alpha} Qf(t) = Q\ {}_b\Delta^{-\alpha} f(t), \tag{46} $$

and hence

$$ \Delta_a^{\alpha} Qf(t) = (Q\ {}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f)(t). \tag{47} $$

and

$$ {}^C\Delta_a^{\alpha} Qf(t) = (Q\ {}_b\ {}^C\Delta^{\alpha} f)(t). \tag{48} $$

The proofs of (47) and (48) follow by the definition, (46) and by noting that

$$ -Q\nabla f(t) = \Delta Qf(t). $$

Similarly, in the nabla case we have

$$ \nabla_a^{-\alpha} Qf(t) = Q\ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha} f(t), \tag{49} $$

and hence

$$ \nabla_a^{\alpha} Qf(t) = (Q\ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f)(t). \tag{50} $$

and

$$ {}^C\nabla_a^{\alpha} Qf(t) = (Q\ {}_b\ {}^C\nabla^{\alpha} f)(t). \tag{51} $$

The proofs of (50) and (51) follow by the definition, (49) and that

$$ -Q\Delta f(t) = \nabla Qf(t). $$

For more details about the discrete version of the Q-operator we refer to [16].

Definition 1.6. *[32] Let $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $y(0) \geq 0$. y is called $\nu-$increasing ($\nu-$decreasing) on $\mathbb{N}_0$ if $y(a+1) \geq \nu y(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ($y(a+1) \leq \nu y(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$).*

Theorem 1.9. *[32] Let $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $y(0) \geq 0$. Fix $\nu \in (0,1)$ and suppose that $\Delta_0^{\nu} y(t) \geq 0$ for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{1-\nu}$. Then, y is $\nu-$incrasing.*

2 Monotonicity known results via dual identities

The following two monotonicity results have been proved in [31] for delta and nabla fractional differences separately in two long proofs.

Theorem 2.1. *[30, 31] If $f : \mathbb{N}_a \to \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta_a^{\nu} f \geq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ with $1 < \nu < 2$, and $f(a+1) \geq f(a) \geq 0$, then $\Delta f(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_a$. That is f is nondecreasing on $\mathbb{N}_a$.*

Theorem 2.2. *If $f : \mathbb{N}_a \to \mathbb{R}$, $\nabla_a^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$, with $1 < \nu < 2$, then $\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for $\mathbb{N}_{a+1}$. That is f is nondecreasing on $\mathbb{N}_a$.*

Assuming Theorem 2.1 is given, we will use its conclusion together with dual identity in Lemma (1.7)(a) to re-obtain and confirm Theorem 2.2. Actually, we state and prove the following version of Theorem 2.2 with a replaced by $a-1$.

Theorem 2.3. *If $f : \mathbb{N}_{a-1} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\nabla_{a-1}^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_a$, with $1 < \nu < 2$, then $\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$. That is f is nondecreasing on $\mathbb{N}_{a+1}$.*

Proof. From the assumption and the representation (42) with a replaced by $a-1$, we have

$$\nabla_{a-1}^{\nu} f(a) = f(a) \geq 0, \tag{52}$$

and

$$\nabla_{a-1}^{\nu} f(a+1) = -\nu f(a) + f(a+1) \geq 0. \tag{53}$$

Hence, (52) and (53) imply that $f(a+1) \geq \nu f(a) \geq f(a) \geq 0$.

On the other hand, the dual identity Lemma 1.7 (i) implies $\Delta_a^{\nu} f(t-\nu) = \nabla_{a-1}^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2}$, or $\Delta_a^{\nu} f(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$. Then, by Theorem 2.1, we conclude that $\Delta f(t) = \nabla f(t+1) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_a$ or $\nabla f(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$. □

The following three theorems have been proved in [33] very recently for the delta fractional difference operator. We shall use them to prove correspondent nabla ones by making use of the dual identities.

Theorem 2.4. *[33] Assume that $f : \mathbb{N}_a \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta_a^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ with $1 < \nu < 2$. If $f(a+1) \geq \frac{\nu}{k+1} f(a)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\Delta f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$.*

Next, we state and prove its nabla version.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that $f : \mathbb{N}_{a-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\nabla_{a-1}^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2}$ with $1 < \nu < 2$. If $f(a+1) \geq \frac{\nu}{k+2} f(a)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2}$.*

Proof. By assumption and the dual identity Lemma 1.7(i), we have $\Delta_a^{\nu} f(t-\nu) = \nabla_{a-1}^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2}$. That is $\Delta_a^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$ for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$. Then, Theorem 2.4 implies that $\Delta f(t) = \nabla f(t+1) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$, or $\nabla f(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2}$. □

Theorem 2.6. *[33] Assume that $f : \mathbb{N}_a \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta_a^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ with $1 < \nu < 2$. If $f(a+2) \geq \frac{\nu}{k+2} f(a+1) + \frac{(k+1-\nu)\nu}{(k+2)(k+3)} f(a)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_1$, then $\Delta f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2}$.*

Its nabla correspondent result will be.

Theorem 2.7. *Assume that $f : \mathbb{N}_{a-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\nabla_{a-1}^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+3}$ with $1 < \nu < 2$. If $f(a+2) \geq \frac{\nu}{k+2} f(a+1) + \frac{(k+1-\nu)\nu}{(k+2)(k+3)} f(a)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_1$, then $\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+3}$.*

We omit the proof since it is similar to above.

Theorem 2.8. *[33] Assume that $f : \mathbb{N}_a \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta_a^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ with $1 < \nu < 2$. If $f(a+3) \geq \frac{\nu}{k} f(a+2) + \frac{(k-\nu)\nu}{(k)(k+1)} f(a+1) + \frac{(k+1-\nu)(k-\nu)\nu}{(k+1)(k+2)k} f(a)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_2$, then $\Delta f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+3}$.*

The nabla correspondent of Theorem 2.8 will be:

Theorem 2.9. *Assume that $f : \mathbb{N}_{a-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\nabla_{a-1}^{\nu} f(t) \geq 0$, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+4}$ with $1 < \nu < 2$. If $f(a+3) \geq \frac{\nu}{k} f(a+2) + \frac{(k-\nu)\nu}{k(k+1)} f(a+1) + \frac{(k+1-\nu)(k-\nu)}{\nu} (k+1)(k+2) k f(a)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_2$, then $\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+4}$.*

In [32], the following monotonicity result was proved for the delta fractional difference operator, with order $0 < \alpha < 1$:

Theorem 2.10. *[32] Let $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $y(0) \geq 0$. Fix $\nu \in (0,1)$ and suppose that*

$$\Delta_0^{\nu} y(t) \geq 0, \quad \texttt{for each } t \in \mathbb{N}_{1-\nu}. \tag{54}$$

Then, y is $\nu-$increasing on $\mathbb{N}_0$.

By means of the dual identity Lemma 1.7(i) we can have the following nabla version of Theorem 2.10 abo

Theorem 2.11. *Let $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function . Fix $\nu \in (0,1)$ and suppose that*

$$\nabla_{-1}^{\nu} y(t) \geq 0, \quad \texttt{for each } t \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{55}$$

Then, y is $\nu-$increasing on $\mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. From assumption, $\nabla^{\nu}_{-1}f(0)=f(0)\geq 0$. On the other hand, $\Delta^{\nu}_{0}f(t-\nu)=\nabla^{\nu}_{-1}f(t)\geq 0$ for $t\in\mathbb{N}_1$. That is $\Delta^{\nu}_{0}f(u)\geq 0$ for $t\in\mathbb{N}_{1-\nu}$, Thus, by Theorem 2.10 we conclude that f is $\nu-$increasing on $\mathbb{N}_0$. □

On the other way back, we can similarly use the dual identity and delta version Theorem 3.6 in [32] to prove the following nabla theorem:

Theorem 2.12. *Let $f:\mathbb{N}_0\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function . Fix $\nu\in(0,1)$ and suppose that f is increasing on $\mathbb{N}_0$ and $y(0)\geq 0$. Then*

$$\nabla^{\nu}_{-1}y(t)\geq 0,\quad \texttt{for each}\ t\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{56}$$

3 Monotonicity results for Caputo fractional diferences

Theorem 3.1. *If $f:\mathbb{N}_a\to\mathbb{R}$ is a function, ${}^{C}\Delta^{\nu}_{a}f(t)\geq -\frac{(t-a)^{(-\nu)}}{\Gamma(1-\nu)}f(a)-\frac{(t-a)^{(1-\nu)}}{\Gamma(2-\nu)}\Delta f(a)$ for $t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ with $1<\nu<2$ and $f(a+1)\geq f(a)\geq 0$. Then, $\Delta f(t)\geq 0$ for $t\in\mathbb{N}_a$.*

The proof follows by (27) with $n=2$ and Theorem 2.1.
The following is the Caputo version of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that $f:\mathbb{N}_a\to\mathbb{R}$ and ${}^{C}\Delta^{\nu}_{a}f(t)\geq -\frac{(t-a)^{(-\nu)}}{\Gamma(1-\nu)}f(a)-\frac{(t-a)^{(1-\nu)}}{\Gamma(2-\nu)}\Delta f(a)$, for each $t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ with $1<\nu<2$. If $f(a+1)\geq\frac{\nu}{k+1}f(a)$ for each $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$, then $\Delta f(t)\geq 0$ for all $t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+1}$.*

The proof follows by (27) with $n=2$ and Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that $f:\mathbb{N}_a\to\mathbb{R}$ and ${}^{C}\Delta^{\nu}_{a}f(t)\geq -\frac{(t-a)^{(-\nu)}}{\Gamma(1-\nu)}f(a)-\frac{(t-a)^{(1-\nu)}}{\Gamma(2-\nu)}\Delta f(a)$ for each $t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ with $1<\nu<2$. If $f(a+2)\geq\frac{\nu}{k+2}f(a+1)+\frac{(k+1-\nu)\nu}{(k+2)(k+3)}f(a)$ for each $k\in\mathbb{N}_1$, then $\Delta f(t)\geq 0$ for all $t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+2}$.*

The proof follows by (27) with $n=2$ and Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that $f:\mathbb{N}_a\to\mathbb{R}$ and ${}^{C}\Delta^{\nu}_{a}f(t)\geq -\frac{(t-a)^{(-\nu)}}{\Gamma(1-\nu)}f(a)-\frac{(t-a)^{(1-\nu)}}{\Gamma(2-\nu)}\Delta f(a)$, for each $t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ with $1<\nu<2$. If $f(a+3)\geq\frac{\nu}{k}f(a+2)+\frac{(k-\nu)\nu}{(k)(k+1)}f(a+1)+\frac{(k+1-\nu)(k-\nu)\nu}{(k+1)(k+2)k}f(a)$ for each $k\in\mathbb{N}_2$, then $\Delta f(t)\geq 0$ for all $t\in\mathbb{N}_{a+3}$.*

The proof follows by (27) with $n=2$ and Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f:\mathbb{N}_0\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $f(0)\geq 0$. Fix $\nu\in(0,1)$ and suppose that*

$${}^{C}\Delta^{\nu}_{0}f(t)\geq -\frac{t^{(-\nu)}}{\Gamma(1-\nu)}f(0),\quad \texttt{for each}\ t\in\mathbb{N}_{1-\nu}. \tag{57}$$

Then, f is $\nu-$increasing on $\mathbb{N}_0$.

The proof follows by (27) with $n=1$ and Theorem 2.10.

The following is Theorem 3.6 in [32].

Theorem 3.6. *[32] Let $f:\mathbb{N}_0\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function . Fix $\nu\in(0,1)$ and suppose that f is increasing on $\mathbb{N}_0$ and $f(0)\geq 0$. Then*

$$\Delta^{\nu}_{0}f(t)\geq 0,\quad \texttt{for each}\ t\in\mathbb{N}_{1-\nu}. \tag{58}$$

By means of Caputo fractional differences, Theorem 3.6 takes the form:

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f:\mathbb{N}_0\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function . Fix $\nu\in(0,1)$ and suppose that f is increasing on $\mathbb{N}_0$ and $f(0)\geq 0$. Then*

$${}^{C}\Delta^{\nu}_{0}f(t)\geq -\frac{t^{(-\nu)}}{\Gamma(1-\nu)}f(0),\quad \texttt{for each}\ t\in\mathbb{N}_{1-\nu}. \tag{59}$$

The proof follows by (27) with $n=1$ and Theorem 3.6.

Remark 3.1. *We can prove the results of this section for nabla left Caputo fractional difference operators either by using the correspondence result for the delta left Caputo fractional differences obtained in this section via the dual identity (33) (for $1<\alpha<2$, $a(\alpha)=a+1$ and for $0<\alpha<1$, $a(\alpha)=a$) or by using the nabla Reimann fractional difference results proved in the previous section via the relation (35).*

4 Monotonicity results for right fractional difference types

In this section, we use the monotonicity results for left fractional difference types discussed in the previous two sections and $Q-$operator dual identities (47), (50) for delta and nabla Riemann fractional differences, and (48), (51) for delta and nabla Caputo fractional differences, to obtain monotonicity results for the right fractional difference types.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume $f : \mathbb{N}_a \cap {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in {}_{b-(2-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, such that $f(b-1) \geq f(b) \geq 0$. Then, $-\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. From the dual identity (47) we have $(\Delta_a^{\alpha} g)(t) = (Q\ {}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f)(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\alpha}$, where $g(t) = f(a+b-t)$. The assumption, ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in {}_{b-(2-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$ implies that $\Delta_a^{\alpha} g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\alpha}$. On the other hand, the assumption $f(b-1) \geq f(b) \geq 0$ implies that $g(a+1) \geq g(a) \geq 0$. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 applied to $g(t) = f(a+b-t)$ implies that $\Delta g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_a$. Which means that $-\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$. □

The nabla version of Theorem 4.1 is then,

Theorem 4.2. *Assume $f : {}_{b+1}\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, ${}_{b+1}\nabla^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$, with $1 < \alpha < 2$. Then, $-\Delta f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in {}_{b-1}\mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. From the dual identity (50) we have $(\nabla_{a-1}^{\alpha} g)(t) = (Q\ {}_{b+1}\nabla^{\alpha} f)(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_a$, where $g(t) = f(a+b-t)$. The assumption, ${}_{b+1}\nabla^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$ implies that $\nabla_{a-1}^{\alpha} g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_a$. Therefore, Theorem 2.3 applied to $g(t) = f(a+b-t)$ implies that $\nabla g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$. Which means that $-\Delta f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in {}_{b-1}\mathbb{N}$. □

Similarly, the proof of the following three theorems follow by the dual identity (47) and Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8, respectively.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume $f : \mathbb{N}_a \cap {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in {}_{b-(2-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, such that $f(b-1) \geq \frac{\alpha}{k+1} f(b) \geq 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, $-\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in {}_{b-1}\mathbb{N}$.*

Theorem 4.4. *Assume $f : \mathbb{N}_a \cap {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in {}_{b-(2-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, such that $f(b-2) \geq \frac{\alpha}{k+2} f(b-1) + \frac{(k+1-\alpha)\nu}{(k+2)(k+3)} f(b)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_1$,. Then, $-\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in {}_{b-2}\mathbb{N}$.*

Theorem 4.5. *Assume $f : \mathbb{N}_a \cap {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in {}_{b-(2-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, such that $f(b-3) \geq \frac{\alpha}{k} f(b-2) + \frac{(k-\alpha)\alpha}{(k)(k+1)} f(b-1) + \frac{(k+1-\alpha)(k-\nu)\alpha}{(k+1)(k+2)k} f(b)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_2$,. Then, $-\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in {}_{b-3}\mathbb{N}$.*

The next two theorems treat the case when $0 < \alpha < 1$ for the delta right fractional difference operator.

Theorem 4.6. *Assume $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \cap {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ ($a = 0$ is taken, $b > 0$) be a function, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $f(b) \geq 0$. Suppose ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for ${}_{b-(1-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$. Then, f is $\alpha-$decreasing on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$. That is $f(t) \geq \alpha f(t+1)$ for all $t \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$.*

The proof follows by the dual identity (47) and Theorem 2.10 applied to $g(t) = f(b-t)$.
Conversely, we can state:

Theorem 4.7. *Assume $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \cap {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ ($a = 0$ is taken, $b > 0$) be a function, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $f(b) \geq 0$. Assume f is decreasing on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$. Then, ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(u) \geq 0$ for all $t \in {}_{b-(1-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$.*

The proof follows by the dual identity (47) and Theorem 3.6 applied to $g(t) = f(b-t)$.

Remark 4.1.
1. *The delta right fractional difference results in this section can be carried to nabla right fractional differences via the identity (50) as we did in Theorem 4.2 by using the results of Section 2.*
2. *The results obtained in this section can be carried to Caputo right fractional differences via the identity (28) in the delta case and (36) in the nabla case. For example, the Caputo version of Theorem 4.1 is*

Theorem 4.8. *Assume* $f : \mathbb{N}_a \cap {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a function,* ${}_b^C\Delta^\alpha f(u) \geq -\frac{(b-u)^{(-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(b) + \frac{(b-u)^{(1-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \nabla f(b)$ *for* $u \in {}_{b-(2-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$*, with* $1 < \alpha < 2$*, such that* $f(b-1) \geq f(b) \geq 0$*. Then,* $-\nabla f(t) \geq 0$ *for all* $t \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$*.*

and the Caputo version of Theorem 4.6 is

Theorem 4.9. *Assume* $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \cap {}_b\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ *(*$a = 0$ *is taken,* $b > 0$*) be a function,* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *and* $f(b) \geq 0$*. Suppose* ${}_b^C\Delta^\alpha f(u) \geq -\frac{(b-u)^{(-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(b)$ *for* $u \in {}_{b-(1-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$*. Then,* f *is* $\alpha-$*decreasing on* ${}_b\mathbb{N}$*. That is* $f(t) \geq \alpha f(t+1)$ *for all* $t \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$*.*

5 Conclusion

After, we investigated the monotonicity properties for delta and nabla Riemann and Caputo fractional difference operators, we list the following:

1. Using dual identities is useful in providing short proofs for the nabla case using the delta case and for the right case using the left case.
2. The monotonicity properties for Caputo fractional difference operators can be proved by using the properties for the Riemann ones via the relation between them. This is very important, since Caputo fractional differences is different from Riemann fractional differences in many aspects.
3. The discrete version of the $Q-$operators plays an important role in proving the monotonicity properties for the right case given the result for the left case.
4. We noticed that the positivity of the left fractional differences under certain extra starting conditions in the delta case implies that the function is increasing for $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\alpha-$increasing for $0 < \alpha < 1$. While positivity of the right fractional difference implies that the function is decreasing for $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\alpha-$decreasing for $0 < \alpha < 1$. However, we have to be careful that the right case is not equivalent to the case when we assume that the delta fractional difference is negative, since the sign for the starting type condition is different.
5. In some cases we need starting conditions when we deal with delta fractional differences, while we may not need this type of condition for the nabla case. The dual identities clarify this.

References

[1] H. L. Gray and N. F.Zhang, On a new definition of the fractional difference, Mathematics of Computaion 50, (182), 513-529 (1988).

[2] K. S. Miller, Ross B.,Fractional difference calculus, *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications*, Nihon University, Koriyama, Japan, (1989), 139-152.

[3] T. Abdeljawad , On Riemann and Caputo fractional differences, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, Volume 62, Issue 3, August 2011, Pages 1602-1611.

[4] F.M. Atıcı and Eloe P. W., Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 137, (2009), 981-989.

[5] F.M. Atıcı, Şengül S., Modelling with fractional difference equations,Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 369 (2010) 1-9.

[6] F. M. Atıcı, Paul W. Eloe, Gronwall's inequality on discrete fractional calculus, Computer and Mathematics with pplications, 64 (10), (2012) 3193-3200 , doi:10.1016/camwa. 2011.11.029.

[7] T. Abdeljawad , D. Baleanu , Fractional Differences and integration by parts, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications vol 13 no. 3 , 574-582 (2011).

[8] Nuno R. O. Bastos, Rui A. C. Ferreira, Delfim F. M. Torres, Discrete-time fractional variational problems, Signal Processing, 91(3): 513-524 (2011).

[9] G. A. Anastassiou, Principles of delta fractional calculus on time scales and inequalities, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 52 (2010)556-566.

[10] G. A. Anastassiou, Nabla discrete calcilus and nabla inequalities, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 51 (2010) 562-571.

[11] G. A. Anastassiou,Foundations of nabla fractional calculus on time scales and inequalities,Computer and Mathematics with Applications, 59 (2010) 3750-3762.

[12] T. Abdeljawad, F. Atıcı, On the Definitions of Nabla Fractional Operators, Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2012 (2012), Article ID 406757, 13 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/406757.

[13] K. Ahrendt, L. Castle, M. Holm, K. Yochman, Laplace transforms for the nabla -difference operator and a fractional variation of parameters formula, *Communications in Applied Analysis*,07/2012; 16(3).

[14] T. Abdeljawad, Dumitru Baleanu, Fahd Jarad, Ravi Agarwal, Fractional sums and differences with binomial coefficients, Discrete Dynamic Systems in Nature and Society, Volume 2013 (2013), Article ID 104173, 6 pages.

[15] F.M. Atıcı and Eloe P. W., A Transform method in discrete fractional calculus, *International Journal of Difference Equations*, vol 2, no 2, (2007), 165–176.

[16] T. Abdeljawad, Dual identities in fractional difference calculus within Riemann,A dvances in Difference Equations 2013, 2013:36. arXiv:1112.5795.

[17] T. Abdeljawad, On Delta and Nabla Caputo Fractional Differences and Dual Identities, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2013 (2013), Article ID 406910, 12 pages.

[18] F. M. Atıcı and Paul W.Eloe, Discrete fractional calculus with the nabla operator, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential equations, Spec. Ed. I, 2009 No.3,1–12.

[19] M. Holm, The theory of discrete fractional calculus development and application, Dissertation 2011.

[20] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press: San Diego CA, (1999).

[21] Samko G. Kilbas A. A., Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.

[22] Kilbas A., Srivastava M. H.,and Trujillo J. J., Theory and Application of Fractional Differential Equations, North Holland Mathematics Studies 204, 2006.

[23] T. Abdeljawad (Maraaba), Baleanu D. and Jarad F., Existence and uniqueness theorem for a class of delay differential equations with left and right Caputo fractional derivatives, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 49 (2008), 083507.

[24] Silva M. F. , J. A. T. Machado, A. M. Lopes, Modelling and simulation of artificial locomotion systems, Robotica 23 (2005), 595–606.

[25] T. Maraaba(Abdeljawad), F. Jarad, D. Baleanu, On the existence and the uniqueness theorem for fractional differential equations with bounded delay within Caputo derivatives, Science in China Series A: Mathematics, 51 (10), 1775-1786 (2008).

[26] Fatma Bozkurt, T. Abdeljawad, Hajji, M. A., Stability analysis of a fractional order differential equation model of a brain tumor growth depending on the density, Applied and Computational Mathematics , Volume: 14 Issue: 1 Pages: 50-62, 2015 .

[27] Fahd Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, Dumitru Baleanu, Higher order variational optimal control problems with delayed arguments, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 218 (18), 9234-9240 (2012).

[28] S.J Sadati, D. Baleanu, A. Ranjbar, R. Ghaderi and Thabet Abdeljawad, Mittag-Leffler Stability Theorem for Fractional-Nonlinear Systems with Delay, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2010, Artcile ID. 108651, 7 pages (2010).

[29] Fahd Jarad, Thabet Abdeljawad, Dumitru Baleanu, Fractional Variational Optimal Control Problems with Delayed Arguments, Nonlinear Dynamics , 62 (3), 609-614 (2010).

[30] R. Dahal, C. S. Goodrich, A monotonocity result for discerete fractional difference operators, Arch. Math. (Basel) 102 (2014), 293-299.

[31] B. Jia, L. Erbe, and A. Peterson, Two monotonocity results for nabla and delta fractional differences, Arch. Math. (Basel), doi 10.1007./s00013-015-0765-2 (2015), to appear.

[32] F. M. Atıcı M. Uyanik, Analysis of discrete fractional operators, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 9 (2015) 139-149.

[33] L. Erbe, C. S. Goodrich, B. Jia and Allan Peterson, Monotonocity results for Delta and Nabla Faractional Differences Revisited, Mathematica Slovaca, to appear.

[34] C. h. Goodrich, A convexity result for fractional differences, Appl. Math. Lett. 35 (2014) 58-62.

[35] G. Boros and V. Moll, Iresistible Integrals; Symbols, Analysis and Expreiments in the Evaluation of Integrals, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2004.

[36] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, A Foundation for Copmuter Science, 2nd ed. , Adison-Wesley,Reading, MA, 1994.

[37] J. Spanier and K. B. Oldham, The Pochhammer Polynomials $(x)_n$, An Atlas of Functions, pp. 149-156,Hemisphere, Washington, DC, 1987.